e-ISSN:2582-7219

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 7.54

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2023.0612057|

Moral Agency and Spiritual Redemption in T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral

Sejal Shankar Ambhore, Dr. Ashok Kumar

Research Scholar, Department of English, Sunrise University, Alwar, (Raj.), India

Professor, Department of English, Sunrise University, Alwar, (Raj.), India

ABSTRACT: T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral (1935) presents a profound exploration of moral agency and spiritual redemption through the martyrdom of Archbishop Thomas Becket. This paper argues that genuine moral agency in the play is not rooted in autonomous self-will but in the conscious submission of the human will to divine purpose. Becket's progressive resistance to the four Tempters, particularly the insidious Fourth Tempter who appeals to spiritual pride ("the right deed for the wrong reason"), reveals the complex moral struggle involved in choosing martyrdom. Through his Christmas sermon, Becket redefines martyrdom as an act of perfect alignment between human choice and God's will, transforming suffering into spiritual joy. The paper further examines how Becket's exercise of moral agency culminates in spiritual redemption, not only for himself but also for the Chorus and the wider community, who move from fear and despair to collective praise and renewal. By blending Christian theology with modernist dramatic techniques, Eliot portrays martyrdom as both personal transcendence and communal redemption, offering a powerful commentary on faith, ethical responsibility, and the possibility of spiritual renewal in a secular age.

KEYWORDS: T. S. Eliot, Murder in the Cathedral, moral agency, spiritual redemption, martyrdom, temptation, Thomas Becket, divine will, Christian theology, submission.

I. INTRODUCTION

T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral, first performed in 1935 at the Canterbury Festival and published in the same year, stands as one of the most significant works in modern religious drama. The play dramatizes the final weeks in the life of Thomas Becket, Archbishop of Canterbury, culminating in his brutal assassination within the cathedral on 29 December 1170. Eliot draws directly from historical accounts, including the eyewitness testimony of Edward Grim, to reconstruct the events surrounding Becket's return from seven years of exile in France. The narrative unfolds in two parts, with an interlude consisting of Becket's Christmas sermon, blending verse drama, choral odes reminiscent of Greek tragedy, and liturgical elements to create a ritualistic exploration of faith, power, and sacrifice.

Historically, the conflict between Thomas Becket and King Henry II originated in the tension between secular and ecclesiastical authority. Appointed Chancellor by Henry II, Becket initially lived a worldly life of pleasure and political influence. Upon his elevation to the Archbishopric of Canterbury, however, he underwent a profound transformation, prioritizing the rights and independence of the Church over royal demands. This shift led to irreconcilable disputes, particularly over the Constitutions of Clarendon, which sought to subject clerics to royal jurisdiction. Exiled for his defiance, Becket returned to England in December 1170, aware that his uncompromising stance placed him in mortal danger. The play condenses the events of his final days, focusing less on the political intricacies of church-state relations and more on the internal moral and spiritual drama of Becket's confrontation with temptation and his ultimate acceptance of martyrdom. The four knights who murder him in the cathedral claim to act on the king's frustrated exclamation, "Will no one rid me of this turbulent priest?"—a phrase that underscores the ambiguous intersection of royal will and individual violence. Eliot, however, transcends mere historical reconstruction, transforming the assassination into a profound meditation on the nature of true Christian witness.

At the heart of Murder in the Cathedral lies the exploration of moral agency and spiritual redemption. Moral agency in the play is portrayed not as autonomous self-assertion or heroic defiance against temporal power, but as the deliberate and difficult alignment of the individual will with the divine will. Becket must resist four Tempters who embody escalating forms of compromise: the first offers sensual pleasures and a return to his former worldly life; the second tempts him with continued political power as Chancellor; the third urges him to lead the barons in rebellion against the king for earthly glory and justice. The most insidious is the Fourth Tempter, who appeals to Becket's spiritual pride by encouraging him to seek martyrdom for the sake of eternal fame and personal triumph—"the right deed for the wrong

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2023.0612057|

reason." Becket's rejection of this final temptation marks the climax of his moral struggle, revealing that authentic moral agency demands complete self-surrender rather than self-glorification. Through this process, the play interrogates the very foundations of ethical choice in a world where even virtuous acts can be corrupted by flawed motives.

The theme of spiritual redemption emerges as the necessary consequence and fulfillment of such moral agency. Becket's martyrdom is not depicted as tragic defeat but as a redemptive act that echoes the sacrifice of Christ. In his Christmas sermon, delivered as a prose interlude, Becket articulates a theology of martyrdom that redefines suffering and death: true martyrs do not seek death but accept it as the will of God, finding joy in perfect submission. He declares that the Church celebrates the death of martyrs both with mourning for the sin that necessitates such sacrifice and with rejoicing for the victory over sin it achieves. This sermon serves as the theological pivot of the play, bridging Becket's internal conflict with the external violence that follows. His death, accompanied by vivid blood imagery and seasonal symbolism, extends redemption beyond the individual. The Chorus of the poor women of Canterbury, who initially express terror and a desire for safety amid the encroaching doom, undergoes a gradual transformation. Their movement from fear and passive suffering to active praise at the play's conclusion illustrates how one person's moral fidelity can catalyze communal spiritual renewal, offering hope amid despair.

The significance of these themes within Eliot's broader body of religious drama cannot be overstated. Following his conversion to Anglo-Catholicism in 1927, Eliot increasingly turned toward explicitly Christian concerns in his poetry and plays. Murder in the Cathedral represents a pivotal achievement in this trajectory, merging modernist poetic techniques with medieval dramatic forms. The use of a chorus drawn from classical Greek tragedy alongside Christian liturgical patterns creates a powerful synthesis, allowing Eliot to explore timeless spiritual realities through a distinctly modern sensibility. The play's verse, shifting between heightened lyricism in the choral odes and more direct dramatic dialogue, heightens the tension between temporal chaos and eternal order. Symbols such as the wheel of fortune, recurring patterns of seasons, and the contrast between the "wheel" of human ambition and the still point of divine will further enrich the thematic depth, reflecting Eliot's fascination with the intersection of time and eternity.

In a modern secular context, the themes of moral agency and spiritual redemption in Murder in the Cathedral resonate with particular urgency. The twentieth century, marked by two world wars, the rise of totalitarian ideologies, and increasing secularization, witnessed a profound crisis of meaning and ethical foundations. Eliot, writing in the interwar period, confronts a world where traditional religious values appeared eroded and individual moral choice seemed overwhelmed by political expediency and mass society. Becket's resistance to the Tempters mirrors the dilemmas faced by individuals in an age of competing ideologies—whether to compromise with power for safety and influence, or to maintain integrity at great personal cost. The play suggests that genuine moral agency requires not withdrawal from the world but courageous engagement grounded in transcendent commitment. Spiritual redemption, achieved through sacrificial suffering rather than worldly success, offers a counter-narrative to secular notions of progress and self-realization.

Moreover, the play's portrayal of the conflict between spiritual and temporal power holds continued relevance in contemporary discussions of authority, conscience, and the role of faith in public life. In an era dominated by materialism, relativism, and skepticism toward institutional religion, Eliot's depiction of martyrdom as an act of ultimate freedom through submission challenges modern assumptions about autonomy and fulfillment. The Chorus's evolution from anxious spectators to participants in redemptive praise further implies that individual moral witness possesses transformative potential for the community at large. Even as secular forces appear dominant, the play affirms the possibility of spiritual renewal emerging from moments of apparent defeat. This message speaks directly to audiences navigating ethical ambiguities in politics, technology, and culture, where the temptation to prioritize expediency over principle remains ever-present.

Eliot's achievement in Murder in the Cathedral thus lies in its ability to make the historical martyrdom of Thomas Becket a living dramatic experience that addresses both medieval and modern concerns. By focusing on the internal moral drama of temptation and submission, the play elevates a specific historical event into a universal exploration of human freedom and divine grace. The resonance of its themes extends beyond literary study, inviting reflection on the enduring questions of how individuals exercise moral agency in the face of power and how such choices contribute to spiritual redemption in a fractured world. As Becket ultimately declares his readiness to accept whatever comes as the will of God, the play leaves its audience with a profound affirmation: true moral agency finds its highest expression in alignment with the divine, and through such alignment, even violent death can become an instrument of redemption.

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2023.0612057|

II. MORAL AGENCY: BECKET AND THE TEMPTERS

In T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral, moral agency emerges as a central philosophical and theological concern, defined not by autonomous self-assertion or heroic individualism but by the disciplined alignment of the human will with the divine purpose. Thomas Becket's moral struggle is portrayed through his encounters with four Tempters, each representing progressive layers of temptation that test the boundaries between worldly compromise and spiritual integrity. This section examines how Becket exercises moral agency by resisting these temptations, particularly the subtle and dangerous appeal of the Fourth Tempter, thereby demonstrating that true freedom lies in willing submission rather than defiant independence. The Chorus and Priests further illuminate the communal dimensions of moral choice, contrasting collective anxiety with the solitary burden of individual ethical decision-making.

The First Tempter appears as a figure of nostalgic hedonism, urging Becket to abandon his rigid principles and return to the sensual pleasures and political ease of his earlier life as Chancellor. He evokes memories of springtime revelry, friendship with the king, and the comforts of temporal power, suggesting that compromise offers safety and happiness. Becket dismisses this temptation swiftly, recognizing it as a regression to a self-indulgent past that he has already transcended through his conversion to ecclesiastical duty. His rejection underscores an essential aspect of moral agency: the capacity to renounce former identities and pleasures when they conflict with a higher calling. This initial resistance establishes Becket's commitment to a life ordered by spiritual rather than sensual priorities.

The Second Tempter appeals to Becket's lingering political ambitions, proposing a pragmatic alliance with the king that would restore his influence as Chancellor while allowing him to serve both Church and Crown. This temptation frames compromise as wise statesmanship, arguing that rigid opposition only leads to unnecessary conflict and personal ruin. Becket again refuses, asserting that temporal power, even when exercised for seemingly noble ends, cannot supersede the eternal claims of the Church. Here, moral agency manifests as the refusal to subordinate spiritual authority to secular expediency. Eliot highlights the danger of good intentions corrupted by the desire for influence, showing that even moderate collaboration with power risks diluting the Church's independent witness.

The Third Tempter introduces a more radical political temptation, encouraging Becket to align with the barons in open rebellion against Henry II. This figure promises earthly justice, national glory, and the triumph of feudal rights over royal tyranny. The appeal lies in its apparent righteousness—defending the oppressed against an overreaching monarch. Yet Becket perceives the underlying self-interest and violence inherent in such rebellion. His rejection reveals moral agency as the discernment to avoid actions that, while cloaked in justice, ultimately serve worldly power struggles rather than divine order. By refusing to instrumentalize the Church for political ends, Becket affirms that moral choice must remain free from manipulation by factional interests.

The Fourth Tempter represents the most insidious challenge, as he appeals directly to Becket's spiritual pride and desire for eternal fame. Unlike the previous tempters, who offer earthly rewards, this figure tempts Becket with the glory of martyrdom itself. He encourages the Archbishop to embrace death not purely for God's will but for the sake of personal sainthood and posthumous triumph—"the right deed for the wrong reason." This temptation is particularly dangerous because it masquerades as piety, exploiting Becket's deep commitment to the Church. The Fourth Tempter's words expose the subtle corruption that can infiltrate even the holiest intentions, where the pursuit of martyrdom becomes a form of self-glorification rather than selfless obedience. Becket's anguished recognition of this temptation marks the climax of his moral struggle. He confronts the terrifying possibility that his resolve for martyrdom may stem from pride rather than pure submission. His ultimate rejection—"Now is my way clear, now is the meaning plain: / Temptation shall not come in this kind again"—signifies the triumph of authentic moral agency. True moral choice, Eliot suggests, demands rigorous self-examination and the complete surrender of personal ambition, even spiritual ambition, to God's inscrutable will.

The role of the Chorus of the women of Canterbury and the Priests provides important contrast to Becket's solitary moral journey. The Chorus embodies the collective voice of ordinary humanity, expressing fear, helplessness, and a desire for safety amid the gathering storm of violence. Their choral odes, rich in imagery of suffering and seasonal cycles, reflect a passive endurance rather than active moral agency. They dread both the king's wrath and the disruptive consequences of Becket's uncompromising stance, revealing how communal moral awareness often prioritizes stability over transcendent truth. The Priests, meanwhile, urge caution and practical compromise, representing institutional concerns for the Church's survival. Their interventions highlight the tension between pragmatic ecclesiastical governance and the radical demands of individual conscience. Through these figures, Eliot illustrates that moral agency

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2023.0612057|

is rarely shared equally; it falls most heavily upon the individual called to witness, while the community often experiences its effects indirectly through fear, confusion, or eventual transformation.

Becket's progression through the temptations thus illustrates a nuanced understanding of moral agency rooted in Christian theology. Rather than celebrating defiant autonomy, the play presents moral freedom as achieved through disciplined resistance to all forms of self-centered motivation. Each successive temptation strips away layers of possible compromise—sensual, political, rebellious, and spiritual—until only pure obedience remains. This process of negation and purification echoes classical and Christian traditions of spiritual trial, where the soul is tested to reveal its true orientation toward God. Eliot's dramatic structure intensifies this internal conflict by externalizing it through distinct Tempter figures, allowing the audience to witness the psychological and spiritual complexity of ethical decision-making. The Fourth Tempter's near-success in tempting Becket with sanctified pride particularly underscores the play's warning that even the pursuit of holiness can become idolatrous if detached from genuine submission.

Ultimately, Becket's exercise of moral agency in resisting the Tempters prepares the ground for his spiritual redemption. By choosing the path of complete alignment with divine will over any worldly or self-serving alternative, he embodies the paradox that true freedom is found in surrender. This section of the play establishes the moral foundation upon which the subsequent themes of martyrdom and redemption are built, demonstrating that spiritual victory arises not from avoiding suffering but from confronting and transcending the temptations that threaten to corrupt human choice. In a broader sense, Eliot uses Becket's struggle to affirm that moral agency, when purified through trial, becomes the essential pathway to transcendent meaning in a world dominated by competing powers and ideologies.

III. THE INTERLUDE SERMON: THEOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF MORAL CHOICE

In T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral, the Christmas sermon delivered by Thomas Becket in the interlude serves as the theological and dramatic pivot of the entire play. Positioned between the two parts of the drama, this prose sermon interrupts the verse structure and provides the clearest articulation of the play's central ideas regarding moral agency and spiritual redemption. Delivered on Christmas Day, just before the arrival of the four knights, the sermon redefines the nature of martyrdom and establishes the proper relationship between human will and divine purpose. Through this sermon, Eliot presents Becket's mature understanding of moral choice as an act of perfect submission, transforming what might appear as passive suffering into an active participation in the redemptive work of Christ.

Becket begins his sermon by drawing a parallel between the joy of Christ's birth and the sorrow associated with His death, noting that the Church celebrates both simultaneously. He explains that Christians mourn the sins of the world that necessitated Christ's sacrifice while simultaneously rejoicing in the victory over sin that His death achieves. This paradoxical union of mourning and rejoicing forms the foundation for Becket's theology of martyrdom. He asserts that a true martyr does not seek death for personal glory or self-aggrandizement but accepts it willingly as the will of God. Martyrdom, in Becket's formulation, is not a heroic act of defiance but a complete surrender of the self. The martyr does not choose death; rather, death chooses the martyr when the individual has aligned his will entirely with God's. This distinction is crucial, as it separates authentic martyrdom from any form of spiritual pride or self-glorification.

A key paradox explored in the sermon is the idea that true martyrdom involves both suffering and joy. Becket declares that the martyr "rejoices in the affliction" because his suffering participates in the larger pattern of Christian redemption. He emphasizes that the highest form of joy comes not from avoiding pain but from embracing it when it serves God's purpose. This theological position directly counters the temptations Becket has already faced, particularly the Fourth Tempter's suggestion that martyrdom could bring personal eternal fame. By insisting that the martyr must act "for God's sake only," Becket rejects any motivation rooted in self-interest, even when disguised as religious devotion. The sermon thus functions as Becket's public declaration of the purified moral agency he has achieved through his private struggle with the Tempters. It marks the moment when internal resolution becomes external testimony.

Eliot's placement of the sermon as a prose interlude is deliberate and highly effective. Surrounded by the heightened poetic language of the choral odes and dramatic confrontations, the plain, direct style of the sermon creates a moment of clarity and solemnity. This stylistic contrast mirrors the theological contrast between the chaotic temporal world of power struggles and the still, eternal order of divine will. The sermon also bridges the personal moral drama of Becket with the communal experience of the Chorus and the audience. By addressing the congregation directly within the play, Becket extends his theological insight beyond his individual conscience to the wider Christian community. The sermon

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2023.0612057|

thereby transforms a private act of moral discernment into a public teaching that prepares both characters and audience for the redemptive significance of the impending martyrdom.

The theological foundations laid in the sermon resonate deeply with Christian doctrine, particularly the example of Christ's own submission in the Garden of Gethsemane and His crucifixion. Becket's insistence on "the right deed for the right reason" echoes Christ's prayer, "Not my will, but thine be done." Eliot, writing as a convert to Anglo-Catholicism, integrates these traditional Christian concepts into a modernist dramatic framework without reducing them to mere symbolism. The sermon reveals martyrdom as both an individual spiritual achievement and a communal gift. When Becket submits completely to God's will, his death becomes more than personal transcendence; it becomes an instrument through which grace can flow to the larger community. This idea is reinforced by the sermon's closing emphasis on the dual nature of the Church's celebration of martyrs—mourning the sin that requires such sacrifice while rejoicing in the sanctification it produces.

Furthermore, the sermon addresses the tension between time and eternity that permeates much of Eliot's work. Becket speaks of the "eternal design" in which human actions find their true meaning. Moral choice, according to this view, gains its significance not from immediate historical consequences but from its alignment with God's timeless purpose. This perspective allows Becket to face his impending death with calm certainty rather than fear or resentment. The sermon thus provides the intellectual and spiritual resolution to the moral conflicts introduced in the first part of the play. Having clarified the nature of true martyrdom, Becket is now prepared to enact it, demonstrating that moral agency reaches its fulfillment when it is grounded in clear theological understanding.

The Christmas sermon also highlights Eliot's synthesis of medieval and modern sensibilities. While rooted in the historical context of twelfth-century England and drawing upon traditional Christian liturgy, the sermon speaks directly to twentieth-century audiences grappling with secularism and moral relativism. In an age where individual autonomy is often celebrated as the highest good, Becket's advocacy of submission as the path to true freedom offers a radical counterpoint. The sermon challenges modern assumptions about self-realization by proposing that genuine moral agency and spiritual redemption arise only when the self is surrendered to a higher will. This message retains its provocative power, inviting reflection on whether contemporary notions of freedom and fulfillment adequately address the deeper human need for transcendent purpose.

Through the interlude sermon, Eliot establishes the theological core of Murder in the Cathedral. Becket's words provide the interpretive framework for understanding his subsequent actions and death. The sermon clarifies that moral agency is not measured by outward resistance to power but by inward alignment with divine intention. Spiritual redemption, in turn, flows from this alignment, turning potential tragedy into an occasion for grace. By giving Becket this moment of theological clarity before the final confrontation, Eliot ensures that the martyrdom is perceived not as random historical violence but as the deliberate culmination of a spiritually purified moral choice. The sermon thus stands as the intellectual and spiritual heart of the play, linking temptation, decision, suffering, and redemption in a cohesive Christian vision.

IV. SPIRITUAL REDEMPTION THROUGH MARTYRDOM

In T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral, spiritual redemption emerges as the direct fulfillment and culmination of Thomas Becket's exercise of moral agency. Having resisted the temptations of the four Tempters and articulated the theology of true martyrdom in his Christmas sermon, Becket proceeds to accept his death not as a tragic end but as a redemptive act that aligns perfectly with the divine will. Martyrdom, in the play, is portrayed as the ultimate expression of moral freedom through complete submission, transforming personal suffering into a source of grace that extends beyond the individual to encompass the wider community. This section examines how Becket's death achieves spiritual redemption for himself while simultaneously catalyzing the transformation of the Chorus and offering a model of communal renewal in the face of violence and secular power.

Becket's martyrdom represents the complete integration of moral agency and divine purpose. Throughout the second part of the play, he maintains a serene resolve, repeatedly affirming that whatever happens will be according to God's will. When the four knights arrive and demand that he submit to the king's authority or face death, Becket refuses to compromise the independence of the Church. His calm declaration, "I am ready to die," reflects the purified state he has achieved after overcoming the temptations, especially the subtle spiritual pride warned against by the Fourth Tempter. Unlike a tragic hero driven by hubris or defiance, Becket embraces death as an act of obedience rather than self-assertion. This willingness to die for the "right reason" elevates his martyrdom from mere historical assassination

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2023.0612057|

to a sacramental participation in Christ's redemptive sacrifice. Eliot employs powerful blood imagery during the murder scene—Becket's blood staining the cathedral floor—to symbolize both violent death and purifying grace, echoing the Christian understanding of Christ's blood as the means of redemption.

The redemptive dimension of Becket's death is further reinforced through recurring symbolic patterns that unify the play. The wheel of fortune, introduced earlier in the choral odes, contrasts the restless turning of human ambition and political intrigue with the still point of divine order. Becket's acceptance of martyrdom represents his stepping off the wheel of temporal power into the eternal design of God. Seasonal imagery also plays a crucial role: the play moves from the dark, fearful winter of impending doom to a promise of spiritual spring. The Chorus initially laments the barrenness and suffering of the season, yet Becket's death introduces the possibility of renewal, suggesting that sacrificial blood can fertilize the spiritual soil of the community. These symbols illustrate how individual moral choice, when aligned with God's will, participates in larger cosmic and redemptive cycles, turning apparent defeat into victory.

Becket's personal redemption is achieved through the total surrender of self. In his final moments, he no longer struggles with the temptations of pride or worldly glory but rests in the certainty that his death serves a higher purpose. This self-emptying (kenosis) mirrors Christ's own humility and obedience unto death. Eliot presents this as the highest form of moral agency: the freedom that comes from relinquishing control and allowing divine will to operate fully through one's actions. Becket's redemption is thus not earned through heroic deeds but received as grace when the human will is perfectly harmonized with the divine. The play suggests that such redemption is available only through the narrow path of suffering and sacrifice, a message that challenges secular notions of fulfillment based on self-preservation and worldly success.

The redemptive impact of Becket's martyrdom extends powerfully to the Chorus of the women of Canterbury. Initially, the Chorus functions as a collective voice of fear, confusion, and passive suffering. They dread both the violence of the knights and the disruptive consequences of Becket's uncompromising stance, expressing a desire to remain unnoticed and safe. Their early choral odes are filled with imagery of darkness, terror, and a longing to escape the burdens of history and destiny. However, as Becket's death unfolds and they witness his calm acceptance, the Chorus undergoes a profound spiritual transformation. In the final choral ode, they move from despair to praise, acknowledging the cleansing and renewing power of the martyr's blood. They declare that the Church is strengthened and the land made fertile through this sacrifice, recognizing that Becket's death has brought them into closer communion with divine reality. This evolution demonstrates how one individual's purified moral agency can generate communal redemption, lifting ordinary people from spiritual inertia into active participation in the mystery of faith.

In contrast to the Chorus's redemptive arc, the four knights represent the secular, rationalizing response to the martyrdom. After the murder, they deliver prose speeches that attempt to justify their actions through political and legal arguments, claiming they acted for the good of the realm and that Becket brought death upon himself through his stubbornness. Their speeches are deliberately flat and bureaucratic, highlighting the moral and spiritual emptiness of purely temporal justifications. Eliot uses this contrast to underscore the gulf between secular power, which reduces complex spiritual events to political expediency, and the deeper spiritual reality perceived by those open to grace. The knights' inability to comprehend the redemptive significance of the event further emphasizes that spiritual redemption remains inaccessible to those who operate solely within the framework of worldly logic and self-interest.

Eliot's dramatic technique enhances the theme of spiritual redemption by blending ritualistic elements with modernist poetry. The murder scene itself has a liturgical quality, with Becket's final words echoing Christ's on the cross and the Chorus responding in choral lament and praise. This ritualistic structure elevates the historical event into a timeless sacramental act, suggesting that true redemption transcends specific historical moments and continues to offer grace to subsequent generations. The play ends not with despair but with the Chorus's affirmation of renewed faith, implying that martyrdom, though costly, plants seeds of spiritual vitality that can flourish even in hostile soil.

Through Becket's martyrdom, Murder in the Cathedral affirms that spiritual redemption is intimately connected to moral agency exercised in submission to God. Becket's death is not an end but a beginning—a moment when individual fidelity becomes a channel for communal grace. The play thus offers a profound vision of how sacrificial suffering, grounded in authentic moral choice, can counteract the forces of secularism and spiritual apathy. In Becket's willing acceptance of death for the right reason, Eliot presents a model of redemption that remains relevant in any age where faith is tested by power, temptation, and the allure of compromise. The transformation of the Chorus serves as the ultimate testimony that one person's alignment with divine will can radiate outward, renewing the spiritual life of an entire community and pointing toward the possibility of hope amid apparent darkness.

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2023.0612057|

IV. DRAMATIC AND POETIC TECHNIQUES ENHANCING THE THEMES

T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral masterfully employs dramatic and poetic techniques to deepen the exploration of moral agency and spiritual redemption. By fusing elements of medieval mystery plays, Greek choral tragedy, and modernist verse, Eliot creates a ritualistic dramatic form that embodies the tension between temporal power and eternal spiritual order. The play's structure, language, imagery, and symbolism work in concert to externalize Becket's internal moral struggle while simultaneously conveying the transformative power of his redemptive martyrdom. These techniques not only heighten the emotional and intellectual impact of the themes but also bridge the historical event of 1170 with the spiritual concerns of the twentieth century.

The overall dramatic structure of the play is highly stylized and liturgical. Divided into two parts with a prose sermon as interlude, the form mirrors the rhythms of the Christian liturgical calendar, moving from anticipation and temptation through proclamation to sacrifice and celebration. Part I focuses on Becket's internal conflict with the Tempters, while Part II dramatizes the external consequences of his moral choices. This bipartite structure underscores the connection between private moral agency and its public redemptive consequences. The inclusion of the Christmas sermon as a prose interlude provides a moment of theological clarity amid the poetic intensity, functioning almost as a homily that invites the audience into reflective participation. Eliot's decision to model the drama on the structure of medieval religious plays allows the work to function simultaneously as historical reenactment and contemporary ritual, reinforcing the timeless quality of the moral and spiritual issues at stake.

Central to the play's effectiveness is Eliot's innovative use of the Chorus, drawn from the tradition of Greek tragedy yet adapted to a Christian context. The Chorus, composed of the poor women of Canterbury, serves multiple functions: it comments on the action, expresses communal emotion, and undergoes its own spiritual development. Their choral odes, written in dense, rhythmic verse rich with alliteration and repetition, create a sense of ritualistic incantation that intensifies the atmosphere of foreboding and awe. The Chorus articulates the collective fear and suffering of ordinary humanity when confronted with forces beyond its control, thereby contrasting with Becket's individual moral resolve. As the play progresses, the Chorus's language evolves from expressions of terror and helplessness to hymns of praise and acceptance, mirroring the theme of communal redemption. This transformation is achieved through poetic progression rather than explicit exposition, allowing the audience to experience the redemptive process organically.

Eliot's poetic language further enhances the thematic depth through its careful modulation between elevated lyricism and stark directness. The Tempters speak in witty, colloquial, or seductive rhythms that reflect their worldly natures, while Becket's speeches grow increasingly measured and solemn as he approaches moral clarity. The choral passages employ complex imagery and symbolic density, drawing on natural cycles, biblical echoes, and classical allusions to create layers of meaning. For instance, the recurring motif of the wheel—"the wheel may turn and still be forever still"—captures the philosophical tension between the flux of human history and the unchanging divine will. Blood imagery, seasonal metaphors of winter turning toward spring, and references to the "still point" of eternity recur throughout, binding the moral struggle to a larger cosmic pattern of redemption. These poetic elements transform abstract theological concepts into visceral, sensory experiences, making the themes of moral agency and spiritual redemption immediate and compelling.

Symbolism and intertextuality play a vital role in enriching the play's exploration of its central concerns. The cathedral itself functions as a potent symbol of the intersection between earthly and heavenly realms, becoming the sacred space where temporal violence meets eternal witness. The four Tempters are not merely psychological projections but archetypal figures that echo medieval morality play traditions while anticipating modernist psychological depth. Biblical parallels abound, with Becket's journey implicitly compared to Christ's passion, his sermon echoing Christ's teachings on sacrifice, and his death resonating with the crucifixion. At the same time, Eliot incorporates elements of Greek tragedy—particularly the role of the Chorus and the sense of inexorable fate—to universalize the Christian story. This intertextual blending creates a rich tapestry that situates the play within a broad literary and spiritual tradition, suggesting that the questions of moral choice and redemption transcend any single historical or cultural moment.

The contrast between verse and prose serves as another powerful dramatic technique. While most of the play is written in verse that elevates the action to a ritual level, the four knights deliver their justifications in flat, bureaucratic prose after the murder. This sudden stylistic shift underscores the spiritual emptiness of their secular rationalizations and highlights the gulf between the poetic language of faith and the prosaic language of power politics. The knights' speeches, with their legalistic tone and self-serving logic, appear absurd and inadequate when set against the elevated

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2023.0612057|

choral responses that follow. This technique effectively dramatizes the play's critique of purely temporal interpretations of events, reinforcing the superiority of the spiritual perspective embodied by Becket and the transformed Chorus.

Through these dramatic and poetic techniques, Eliot succeeds in making the abstract themes of moral agency and spiritual redemption dramatically vivid and theologically profound. The ritualistic form, the evolving Chorus, the layered symbolism, and the strategic use of language all work to immerse the audience in the moral and spiritual drama rather than merely describing it. The play does not preach its theology but enacts it, allowing viewers and readers to experience the tension of temptation, the clarity of the sermon, the agony of martyrdom, and the joy of redemption as living dramatic realities. In doing so, Eliot creates a work that transcends the limitations of conventional theater, functioning instead as a modern liturgical drama that continues to challenge and inspire audiences confronting questions of conscience, sacrifice, and faith in a secular world.

VI. CONCLUSION

T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral offers a profound meditation on the inseparable relationship between moral agency and spiritual redemption. Through Thomas Becket's dramatic journey, the play demonstrates that authentic moral agency is not achieved through autonomous self-assertion or heroic defiance, but through the rigorous purification of the will and its ultimate submission to divine purpose. Becket's successful resistance to the four Tempters, especially the insidious Fourth Tempter who tempts him with spiritual pride, reveals the complex moral struggle required to choose the "right deed for the right reason." His Christmas sermon further clarifies this theology, redefining martyrdom as joyful surrender rather than self-glorification. In his willing acceptance of death, Becket attains personal spiritual redemption, transforming violent martyrdom into a sacramental participation in Christ's sacrifice.

This individual redemption radiates outward, catalyzing the spiritual renewal of the Chorus of Canterbury women. Their evolution from fear and despair to praise and acceptance illustrates how one person's purified moral agency can generate communal grace, offering hope and renewal even in the midst of secular violence and political turmoil. Eliot's masterful integration of Christian theology with modernist dramatic techniques—choral odes, symbolic imagery, ritualistic structure, and contrasting verse-prose—embodies these themes, creating a work that transcends its historical setting to address universal spiritual concerns.

In a modern secular context, the play retains striking relevance. It challenges contemporary assumptions about individual autonomy, ethical compromise, and the pursuit of self-fulfillment by affirming that true freedom and redemption arise from alignment with transcendent will. Murder in the Cathedral ultimately affirms that moral agency, when exercised through sacrificial obedience, becomes the pathway to spiritual victory. Becket's legacy, as dramatized by Eliot, continues to inspire reflection on the cost and possibility of faith amid the temptations and crises of the modern world.

REFERENCES

1. Bloom, H. (Ed.). (1988). T. S. Eliot's "Murder in the Cathedral". Chelsea House.
2. Clark, D. R. (Ed.). (1971). Twentieth century interpretations of "Murder in the Cathedral": A collection of critical essays. Prentice-Hall.
3. Das, K. (2022). History, religion and form in Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral. Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science, 10(8), 338–341.
4. Domestico, A. (2017). Poetry and theology in the modernist period. Johns Hopkins University Press.
5. Eliot, T. S. (1935). Murder in the Cathedral. Harcourt, Brace.
6. Gardner, H. (1988). The historical and the timeless in Murder in the Cathedral. In H. Bloom (Ed.), T. S. Eliot's "Murder in the Cathedral" (pp. 7–25). Chelsea House. (Original work published 1950)
7. Khorsand, G. (2014). Religious ideology and motivation of action: A study of nature of action in T. S. Eliot's "Murder in the Cathedral". Epiphany: Journal of Transdisciplinary Studies, 6(2), 128–144.
8. Kohzadi, H., & Azizmohammadi, F. (2011). A study of T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5(12), 2227–2230.
9. Martz, L. L. (1971). The wheel and the point: Aspects of imagery and theme in Eliot's later poetry and Murder in the Cathedral. In D. R. Clark (Ed.), Twentieth century interpretations of "Murder in the Cathedral" (pp. 15–28). Prentice-Hall.
10. Misra, K. S. (1982). The plays of T. S. Eliot: A critical study. Macmillan.

| ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 7.54| Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Referred Journal|

| Volume 6, Issue 12, December 2023 |

| DOI:10.15680/IJMRSET.2023.0612057|

11. Petersen, N. H. (2022). T. S. Eliot's Murder in the Cathedral: Divine vs. human? Religions, 13(11), 1068.
12. Sharoni, E. (1972). The dramatic verse of T. S. Eliot. In A. Tate (Ed.), T. S. Eliot: The man and his work (pp. 132–152). Delacorte Press.
13. Smith, G. (1971). The structure of Murder in the Cathedral. In D. R. Clark (Ed.), Twentieth century interpretations of "Murder in the Cathedral" (pp. 29–42). Prentice-Hall.
14. Spanos, W. V. (1971). Murder in the Cathedral: The theological paradox. In D. R. Clark (Ed.), Twentieth century interpretations of "Murder in the Cathedral" (pp. 43–55). Prentice-Hall.
15. Soud, D. (2014). "The condition of our own hearts": Four Quartets, Karl Barth, and the theology of crisis. Christianity & Literature, 63(3), 1353–1373.
16. Tiwari, S., Tiwari, S., & Tiwari, M. (2006). The plays of T. S. Eliot. Atlantic Publishers.
17. Ward, D. (1988). Eliot and the idea of martyrdom. In H. Bloom (Ed.), T. S. Eliot's "Murder in the Cathedral" (pp. 27–38). Chelsea House.

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com